January 15, 2013

The Board of Trustees for the Maryland State Retirement and Pension System met in the Boardroom of the SunTrust Building, 120 East Baltimore Street, Baltimore, Maryland beginning at 10:07 a.m.

The Trustees present included:

Nancy Kopp, Chairman, Presiding

Peter Franchot, Vice Chairman David Blitzstein

John Douglass (via telephone)

Robert Hagans

Kenneth Haines

James Harkins

Sheila Hill

F. Patrick Hughes Major Morris Krome Theresa Lochte

Harold Zirkin

Thurman Zollicoffer, Jr.

Agency Staff members attending included: R. Dean Kenderdine, Executive Director\Board Secretary

Anne Budowski

Robert Burd Margaret Bury

Melody Countess
Patricia Fitzhugh

Anne Gawthrop

Michael Golden Ira Greenstein Angie Jenkins Van Lewis Ken Reott

Ben Robb Toni Voglino

Assistant Attorneys General present included: Deborah Bacharach, Kathy Brady, Rachel Cohen, Carla Katzenberg, Jill Leiner and Josaphine Yuzuik.

Also attended by: Nathan Bowen, Robin Clark, John Kenney, Rick Norman and Linda Tillman.

Consent Agenda

On a motion made by Mr. Zirkin and seconded by Ms. Hill, the Board approved the consent agenda, which included:

- December 18, 2012 Open Meeting Board Minutes
- Disability Reports from the Medical Board

CIO Report

Robert Burd, Deputy Chief Investment Officer informed the Board that the total fund was up 1.38% for the month of December, 2012. Fiscal YTD net return for the total fund is 7.14% as of December 31, 2012.

SB and Company

Graylin Smith, CPA, Client Service Partner and William A. Seymour, Engagement Partner from SB and Company, LLC presented an overview of the System's financial statements for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2012.

Mr. Blitzstein recommended that, in the future, a draft of the System's financial statements be brought to the Board for final review before they are sent to be printed in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR).

Chairman Kopp agreed with Mr. Blitzstein and recommended expanding the December Audit Committee meeting to include the full Board as a means of implementing this change so that production of the CAFR is completed in December.

January 15, 2013

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) Melody Countess, Chief Operations Officer, presented an overview of the System's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for 2012.

Mr. Zirkin commented that the System's CAFR is one of the most outstanding reports of its kind that he has reviewed.

Investment Personnel Salary Setting Policy Mr. Kenderdine presented to the Board a memorandum which proposed revised criteria for the Investment Personnel Salary Setting Policy. The memorandum included two suggestions that were received from Trustees and staff comments and Board options relating to those suggestions.

Vice-Chairman Franchot commented that, given that retiree COLAs are restricted under the pension reform and investment performance, it would be appropriate for investment staff raises to be restricted based on the rate of return. The Comptroller suggested that the maximum increase not be allowed if the fund does not meet some percentage of the assumed rate of return.

After further discussion the Trustees agreed that Mr. Kenderdine would send to the Board, via email, a revised proposal for the Investment Personnel Salary Setting Criteria. The Board will vote electronically on the revised guidelines and ratify that rate at the February meeting of the Board.

ADDITION TO MINUTES BY MR. KENDERDINE: Mr. Kenderdine provided to the Board, via email, revised criteria to be used in setting the salaries of the Deputy Chief Investment Officer and investment Managing Directors. The revisions reflected Trustee suggestions made at the January Board meeting. The document also included examples of how salary adjustments could be calculated under these proposed guidelines.

The document was presented to the Board in the form of a motion made by Mr. Zirkin and seconded by Mr. Harkins. The electronic vote, cast by the Board of Trustees, was 12 in favor of the motion with no votes against the motion. The revised criteria is attached as Attachment A.

Executive Director's Report

Mr. Kenderdine announced that nominations are being accepted for the Investment Committee Public Advisor position, currently held by Brian Topping. Mr. Topping's term will end in June 2013.

Mr. Kenderdine asked the Board for topic suggestions for the 2013 Board Education Session that will be held on May 21, 2013.

Vice-Chairman Franchot suggested the program include a segment on a broader topic of retirement security in the United States and address personal savings among Americans.

Mr. Blitzstein suggested contacting Dallas Salisbury at the Employee Benefit Research Institute or Alicia Munnell at the Boston College Center.

Page 2 of 5

January 15, 2013

Mr. Kenderdine announced that Theresa Lochte has been appointed to Chair the National Council on Teacher Retirement (NCTR) Trustee Education Committee.

Mr. Kenderdine reported that Agency's budget hearings have been schedule for:

January 29, 2013
Senate Budget Hearing
Senate Budget and Taxation
Full Committee A
3 West, Miller - 1:00 pm

January 31, 2013
House Budget Hearing
House Public Safety and
Administration Subcommittee
Room 120, HOB - 1:00 pm

To date, no pension-related bills have been filed; however, some are expected.

CLOSED SESSION

On a motion made by Mr. Harkins and duly seconded, the Board voted to meet in a Closed Session (11:21 a.m.) in the Board Room of the SunTrust Building at 120 East Baltimore Street for the purpose of:

- 1. to approve the closed session minutes, pursuant to State Government Article § 10-503(a)(1)(i), the exercise of an administrative function; and
- 2. to consult with staff and counsel regarding securities litigation, pursuant to State Government Article § 10-508(a)(8); to consult with counsel regarding potential litigation.

The Trustees present included:

Nancy Kopp, Chairman, Presiding Peter Franchot, Vice Chairman

David Blitzstein

Robert Hagans Kenneth Haines

James Harkins

Sheila Hill

F. Patrick Hughes

Major Morris Krome

Theresa Lochte

Harold Zirkin

Thurman Zollicoffer, Jr.

Agency Staff members attending included: R. Dean Kenderdine, Executive Director\ Board Secretary

Anne Budowski

Patricia Fitzhugh

Angie Jenkins

Margaret Bury

Anne Gawthrop

Ken Reott

Melody Countess

Michael Golden

Toni Voglino

Assistant Attorneys General present included: Deborah Bacharach, Kathy Brady, Rachel Cohen, Carla Katzenberg, Jill Leiner and Josaphine Yuzuik.

Also attended by: John Kenney.

January 15, 2013

OPEN SESSION

The Board returned to open session at 11:40 a.m. in the Board Room of the SunTrust Building at 120 Fast Baltimore Street.

Adela Solis-Franco

The Board considered the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge in connection with the claim of Ms. Adela Solis-Franco for <u>ACCIDENTAL DISABILITY</u> retirement benefits. The Administrative Law Judge's report, a report by the Medical Board, and all related documents submitted by the parties were presented.

Ms. Solis-Franco appeared before the Board to oppose the Agency's position and the Administrative Law Judge's recommendation. At Ms. Solis-Franco's request, the Board retained the services of Mr. Pio "Alberto" Ferro, a Spanish Interpreter with LionBridge who was present to translate on behalf of Ms. Solis-Franco. Ms. Carla Goldman Katzenberg, attorney for the Agency, addressed the Board and argued that the Board should adopt the Administrative Law Judge's recommendations. Following discussion, the Board deferred further consideration to Closed Session.

Andrew Mohan

The Board considered the recommendation of the Medical Board in connection with the claim of Mr. Andrew Mohan for <u>SPECIAL DISABILITY</u> retirement benefits. The Administrative Law Judge's report, a report by the Medical Board, and all related documents submitted by the parties were presented.

Richard Link, Esq., Mr. Mohan's attorney, appeared before the Board to oppose the Agency's position and the Medical Board's recommendation. Ms. Jill Leiner, attorney for the Agency, addressed the Board and argued that the Board should accept the Medical Board's recommendation and deny Mr. Mohan both special and ordinary disability benefits. Following discussion, the Board deferred further consideration to Closed Session.

Bernard A. Kirby

The Board considered the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge in connection with the claim of Mr. Bernard A. Kirby for <u>ACCIDENTAL DISABILITY</u> retirement benefits. The Administrative Law Judge's report, a report by the Medical Board, and all related documents submitted by the parties were presented.

Shelton H. Levitt, Esq., Mr. Kirby's attorney, appeared before the Board to oppose the Agency's position and the Administrative Law Judge's recommendation. Ms. Carla Goldman Katzenberg, attorney for the Agency, addressed the Board and argued that the Board should adopt the Administrative Law Judge's recommendations. Following discussion, the Board deferred further consideration to Closed Session.

January 15, 2013

CLOSED SESSION - APPEALS AND HEARINGS

On a motion made by Ms. Lochte and seconded by Ms. Hill, the Board voted to meet in a Closed Session (12:47 p.m.) in the Board Room of the SunTrust Building at 120 East Baltimore Street for the purpose of:

1. to consider the disability appeals pursuant to State Government Section 10-503(a)(1)(iii), the exercise of a quasi- judicial function.

The Trustees present included:

Nancy Kopp, Chairman, Presiding

Robert Hagans Kenneth Haines

Sheila Hill

F. Patrick Hughes

Major Morris Krome Theresa Lochte Harold Zirkin

Thurman Zollicoffer

Agency Staff members attending included: R. Dean Kenderdine, Executive Director\ Board Secretary

Margaret Bury

Angie Jenkins

Assistant Attorney General present included: Deborah Bacharach and Rachel Cohen.

OPEN SESSION

The Board reported that during the closed session the Board reviewed and decided on the following disability appeals:

Adela Solis-Franco The Board voted to ADOPT the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed

Decision and **DENY** Adela Solis-Franco's request for accidental disability

benefits.

Andrew Mohan The Board voted to ACCEPT the Medical Board's Recommendation and

DENY Andrew Mohan's request for disability benefits.

Bernard A. Kirby The Board voted to ADOPT the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed

Decision and **DENY** Bernard A. Kirby's request for accidental disability

benefits.

Adjournment There being no further business before the Board, the meeting adjourned

at 12:58 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Dean Kenderdine Secretary to the Board

January 15, 2013

ATTACHMENT A

Guidelines for Salary-Setting Evaluations for Managing Directors and Deputy CIO

Salary-setting authority for these positions was granted by legislation to the Board of Trustees in 2012, up to the salary level associated with the Executive Service grades of ES-9 for Managing Directors, ES-11 for the Deputy CIO and subject to a 10% maximum increase in any year.

The Managing Directors (MD's) and Deputy CIO (DCIO) will continue to be evaluated on core performance semi-annually using the "State of Maryland Performance Evaluation for Management Employees."

In addition, beginning December 31, 2012, they will be evaluated annually on value-added performance for the purpose of determining the salary increment to be received the following year. The performance period measured will be the last completed fiscal year for the quantitative evaluation and the last completed fiscal year and the most recent 12 months for the qualitative evaluation. The cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) granted to state employees will constitute the minimum increment for any year.

Following are the categories of evaluation. The total potential increment totals to 10% of current salary for MD's and also for the DCIO.

I. Quantitative Value-Added at the Asset Class Level

4% potential for MD's 0% potential for DCIO

Managing Directors are responsible for asset class implementation under the authority of the CIO, including manager recommendations and monitoring. If asset class benchmark is met or exceeded on average, then the Managing Director may receive an increment corresponding to the % of current salary appearing in the table below.

The maximum potential increment for this category is 4% for Managing Directors. The maximum potential increment for this category is 0% for the Deputy CIO, because the DCIO does not have an asset class focus.

When excess returns over asset class benchmark are:	Managing Directors' % of pay increase for this category is:
Negative	0%
0-9 bps*	2%
10-19	3%
20 or more	4%

^{*}A basis point (bp) is 100th of 1%.

January 15, 2013

ATTACHMENT A

II. Quantitative Value-Added at the Total Fund Level

A) Did the Total Fund Return reach at least 25% of the target rate?

Yes—refer to other factors to determine salary increase No—cap the total raise at 9%

If the Total Fund return is not positive for the year, salary increases are capped. The cap is set at 1% below the potential of 10%. The cap is not lower because: i) the salaries of these professionals start at a level significantly below the median of their peers; ii) the System desires to retain investment professionals; and iii) staff members have very limited impact on absolute (as opposed to relative) returns.

A) Excess returns over total fund benchmark

2% potential for MD's 6% potential for DCIO

While their primary responsibility is at the asset class level, Managing Directors are encouraged to work as team members to promote the overall performance of the fund.

The maximum potential increment for this category is 6% for the DCIO, who is expected to promote the overall performance of the fund and who has responsibility for recommending tactical and strategic actions influencing the total fund's relative performance.

If the total fund benchmark is met or exceeded on average, then the Managing Directors may receive an increment corresponding to the % of current salary appearing in the table below.

When excess returns over the total fund benchmark are:	Managing Directors' % of pay increase for this category is:	DCIO's % of pay increase for this category is:
Negative	0%	0%
0-9 bps* (benchmark was met)	1%	2%
10-19	1.5%	4%
20 or more	2%	6%

January 15, 2013

ATTACHMENT A

II. Leadership, Management and Outside of Asset Class Contributions

This category recognizes the importance of leadership and teamwork. It also emphasizes quality of work which may not directly correspond to historical asset class performance.

Finally, this category credits the completion of a project in addition to his/her asset class responsibilities by an MD that added portfolio value, or reduced risk/cost. In the case of the DCIO, this contribution may be demonstrated in any part of the portfolio.

•	Exercises effective team leadership, meeting skills, and leading by example	1% Potential
•	Demonstrated productivity & initiative of reporting team	1% Potential
•	Quality, consistency & timeliness of work product/recommendations	1% Potential
•	Outside of asset class contribution	1% Potential

January 15, 2013

ATTACHMENT A

example 1 With total fund return of +1.6% and policy benchmark of 1.0%.		
ilB. Excess Returns—Total Fund	if negative, then 0%	
	if 0-9 bps, then 2%	%
	if 10-19 bps, then 4%	
	if 20 or more bps, then 6%	
The second secon		
III. Leadersnip, Mgmnr, & Outside Asser Class		
A. Team leadership meeting skills, leading by example	it substantial value added, then 1%	1%
8. Demonstrated prod y & initiative of team	it substantial value added, then 1%	1%
Quality, consistency & timeliness of work product/recomm.	if substantiai value added, then 1%	1%
D. Outside of asset class contribution	if substantial value added, then 1%	1%
		è
		TU%
IIA. Total Fund Return + or	if equal to or greater than 1.9375%, take no action	
(Is it at least 25% of assumed rate or 7,75% x, 25?)	if less than 1.9375%, then cap at 9%	-1%
TOTAL AFTER CAP. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		%6
Example 2 with total fund return of +8.6% and policy benchmark of 8.0%.		
IIB. Excess ReturnsTotal Fund	if negative, then 0%	
sdq 09	if 0-9 bps, then 2%	%9
	if 20 or more bps, then 6%	
III. Leadership, Mgmnt, & Outside Asset Class		
A. Team leadership meeting skills, teading by example	if substantial value added, then 1%	1%
B. Demonstrated prod'y & initiative of team	if substantial value added, then 1%	1%
C. Quality, consistency & timeliness of work product/recomm.	if substantial value added, then 1%	1%
D. Outside of asset class contribution	if substantial value added, then 1%	1%
TOTAL BEFORE CAP		10%
		C
llA. Total Fund Return + or • (Is it at least 25% of assumed rate or 7.75% x.25?)	r equal to or greater than 1.93/15% take no action if less than 1.93/15%, then cap at 9%	o.

January 15, 2013

ATTACHMENT A

I. Excess Returns—Asset Class		TAT THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
		if negative, then 0%	
	The state of the s	if 0-9 bps, then 2%	
		if 10-19 bps, then 3%	
	25 bps	if 20 or more bps, then 4%	4%
IIB. Excess Returns—Total Fund		if negative, then 0%	
		if 0-9 bps, then 1%	
		if 10-19 bps, then 1.5%	
	sdq 09	if 20 or more bps, then 2%	2%
III. Leadership, Mgmnt, & Outside Asset Class		a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
A. Team leadership meeting skills, leading by example		if substantial v/a, then 1%	1%
B. Demonstrated prod'y & initiative of team		if substantial v/a, then 1%	1%
C. Quality, consistency & timeliness of work product/recomm.		if substantial v/a, then 1%	1%
D. Outside of asset class contribution		it substantial v/a, then 1%	1%
TOTAL BEFORE CAP		TARTHAN TO THE TARTHAN THE TARTHAN TO THE TARTHAN THE TARTHA	10%
IIIA. Total Fund Return + or -		if positive, take no action	
(Is it at least 25% of assumed rate or 7.75% x .25?)		if less than 1.9375%, then cap at 9%	-1%
TOTAL AFTER CAR (SOUTHWESTERNING SOUTHWESTERNING)			%6
Excess Ach Example 2 with total fund return of +8.6% and policy benchmark of 8.0%.	Excess Achieved ark of 8.0%.	Award Rule.	Amount of Award
1. Excess Returns—Asset Class			
		if negative, then 0%	
		if 0-9 bps, then 2%	
		if 10-19 bps, then 3%	
	25 bps	if 20 or more bps, then 4%	4%
IIB. Excess Returns—Total Fund		if negative, then 0%	
		if 0-9 bps, then 1%	
		if 10-19 bps, then 1.5%	
	ed bps	If 20 or more bps, then 2%	7%
III. Leadership. Mgmnt. & Outside Asset Class			
A. Team leadership meeting skills, leading by example	***************************************	if substantial v/a, then 1%	1%
B. Demonstrated prod'y & initiative of team		if substantial v/a, then 1%	1%
C. Quality, consistency & timeliness of work product/recomm.		if substantial v/a, then 1%	1%
D. Outside of asset class contribution	***************************************	if substantial v/a, then 1%	1%
TOTAL BEFORE CAP			10%
IIA. Total Fund Return + or ~		if positive, take no action	0
((s it at least 25% of assumed rate or 7.75% x .25?)		it less than 1.93/5%, then cap at 9%	